#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 8819 WO GI-AL	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/EP2005/002997	International filing date (day/month/year) 22 March 2005 (22.03.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 23 March 2004 (23.03.2004)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant ZF FRIEDRICHSHAFEN AG			

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	of 8 sheets, including this co	ver sheet.		
•	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.				
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following items	<b>X</b> :		
	Box No. I	Basis of the report			
•	Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	ox No. III  Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application			
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).				
			Date of issuance of this report 01 November 2006 (01.11.2006)		
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland		ombettes	Authorized officer Yolaine Cussac		
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70		7 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	e-mail: pt11@wipo.int		

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY  (PCT Rule 43bis.1)	From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHO	DRITY		ANC.
Applicant's or agent's file reference  8819 WO GT—AL International application No. PCT/PEP2005/002997  22. 03.2005  International papilication (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  F16H3/78  Applicant  ZF FRIEDRICHSHAFEN AG  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  F16H3/78  Applicant  ZF FRIEDRICHSHAFEN AG  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  F16H3/78  Applicant  ZF FRIEDRICHSHAFEN AG  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  F16H3/78  Applicant  ZF FRIEDRICHSHAFEN AG  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  F16H3/78  Applicant  ZF FRIEDRICHSHAFEN AG  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  Box No. II Priority  Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. VI Cartain documents cited  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain documents cited  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Searching Authority ("IPCA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority than this one to be the IPCA and the choose IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.161/6 by TeCH And the Choose in FIEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.161/6 by Growinder one of the PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPC written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of FCT/SA/220 or before the expiration of 23 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.				PCT PCT
Applicant's or agent's file reference  8819 WO GI-AL  International application No.  PCT/EP2005/002997  International filing date (dasymonth/year)  22.03.2005  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  F16H3/78  Applicant  ZF FRIEDRICHSHAFEN AG  I. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  Box No. II Basis of the opinion  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability applicability (itations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of that this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the international Bureau under Rule 66. Ibis(b) that written opinion its international Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE virtue reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of F PCTISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.				RITTEN OPINION OF THE
Applicant See agent's file reference  8819 WO GI-AL  International application No.  PCT/EP2005/002997  International application (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  F16H3/78  Applicant  ZF FRIEDRICHSHAFEN AG  I. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  Box No. I Basis of the opinion  Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. VR Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion this International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66 Ibix(b) that written opinion this International reliminary Examining Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of F PCT/ISA/220.				(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
International application No.   International filing date (day/month/year)   23.03.2004   23.03.2004				See form PCT/ISA/210
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC   F16H3/78	=		FOR FURTHER	
Applicant  ZF FRIEDRICHSHAFEN AG  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:    Box No. I   Basis of the opinion			(day/month/year)	
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:    Box No. I   Basis of the opinion	, ,	oth national classification ar	nd IPC	
Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  PURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of F PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	•	AG		·
Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  PURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of F PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	1 This eninion contains indications r	elating to the following item	s.	
Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  1. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of F PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	⊠			
Box No. IV  Box No. IV  Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V  Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI  Certain documents cited  Box No. VII  Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII  Certain observations on the international application  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of F PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.		не ориноп		-
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.		11: 1		ive stee and industrial applicability
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.			gard to noverty, invent	ive step and industrial applications.
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of F PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	Roy No. V Reasoned	I statement under Rule 43bis	r.1(a)(i) with regard to ons supporting such state	novelty, inventive step or industrial
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	<b>►</b> Z			•
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	Box No. VII Certain d	efects in the international ap	plication	
<ol> <li>FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of F PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.</li> <li>For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.</li> </ol>				
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	Box No. VIII Canama	5501 Val. 1015 615 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	If a demand for international pr International Preliminary Examini than this one to be the IPEA and t	ng Authority ("IPEA") excepte the chosen IPEA has notified	pt that this does not ap d the International Bur	ply where the applicant chooses an Authority other
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	written reply together, where app	ropriate, with amendments,	, before the expiratior	of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form
	•			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP  Authorized officer	3. For further details, see notes to For	m PCT/ISA/220.		
TABLE WITH THE TOTAL OF THE TOT	Name and mailing address of the ISA/FP		Authorized officer	
	Trans and haring address of the 1970El			
Facsimile No. Telephone No.	Facsimile No.		Telephone No.	

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/002997

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion
l.	With filed	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language
		, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	•	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed niton, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
	٠.	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
<ol> <li>4.</li> </ol>	Add	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
		·

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/002997

Statement		
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-25	YE
	Claims	NC
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1-25	YE
	Claims	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-25	YI
	Claims	NO
Citations and explanations:	in the fall and a decompate.	
	e is made to the following documents:	
	31 31 138 A1 (ZAHNRADFABRIK	
	EDRICHSHAFEN AG; ZAHNRADFABRIK	
	EDRICHSHAFEN AG, 79) 24 February 1983	
•	83-02-24)	
•	2003/199360 A1 (BIALLAS JEFFREY J) 23	
	ober 2003 (2003-10-23)	
D3: US	6 524 208 B1 (HOLLERMANN ERIKA ET AL) 25	
Feb	ruary 2003 (2003-02-25)	•
D4: EP	1 389 696 A (JATCO LTD) 18 February 2004	
(20	04-02-18)	
2 D1, whic	h is cited by the applicant, is considered	
the clos	est prior art. It discloses all the	
features	of the preamble of claims 1 and 2 (the	
referenc	es between parentheses relate to said	
document	, figure 1):	
ар	lanetary gear mechanism (1), in particular	
a d	ual clutch transmission in the design of a	
pla	netary gear mechanism, having a plurality	
• .	planet gear sets (I, II, III), having at	
	st two frictionally locking shift elements	

International application No. PCT/EP2005/002997

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

(A, B) for connecting different power paths into a force flow, and having a plurality of positively locking shift elements (C, D, E, G, H, J) for setting different transmission ratios in the power paths, the frictionally locking shift elements (A, B) and the positively locking shift elements being arranged between shafts of the planet gear sets (I to III), a housing (4) and a transmission input shaft (1) and a transmission output shaft (2) in such a way that gear step changes can be carried out at least in a lower gear step range ("1" to "6") by means of the frictionally locking shift elements (A, B) with no interruption in tractive force, with at least one of the frictionally locking shift elements (A, B) being embodied as a clutch.

from which the subject matter of independent claim 1 differs in that:

a second planet gear set, a third planet gear set and a fourth planet gear set form a 3web, 5-shaft transmission device formed with separate planet gears.

The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore novel 2.1 (PCT Article 33(2)).

> The problem addressed by the present invention can therefore be considered that of improving the efficiency of the transmission and reducing the

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/002997

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

production costs.

2.2 The solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application for said problem involves an inventive step for the following reasons (PCT Article 33(3)):

although a 3-web, 5-shaft transmission device is known from D3 (planet gear sets 6, 7 and 4 in figure 1), said unit is not operated as a dual-clutch transmission (there is no alternating use of the clutches K1 and K2 for successive gears) and no positively locking shift elements can therefore be used.

3 The subject matter of independent claim 2 therefore differs from the disclosure of D1 in that:

a second planet gear set (P2), a third planet gear set (P3) and a fourth planet gear set (P4) form a reduced 3-web, 5-shaft transmission device, in which two planet gear sets are connected to one another, without stepping, by means of dual planet gears.

3.1 The subject matter of claim 2 is therefore novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

The problem addressed by the present invention can therefore be considered that of improving the efficiency of the transmission and reducing the production costs.

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/002997

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; Box No. V citations and explanations supporting such statement The solution proposed in claim 2 of the present 3.2 application for said problem involves an inventive step for the following reasons (PCT Article 33(3)): although a 3-web, 5-shaft transmission device is known from D4 (gear sets G2 and G3 in figure 1), said unit is not operated via two power paths and no positively locking shift elements can therefore be used. Claims 3-25 are dependent on claim 1 or 2 and therefore likewise meet the PCT requirements for novelty and inventive step.

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/002997

Box	No. VI Certain documents cited			
1.	Certain published documents (Rule 43bis. 1 and	70.10)	•	
	Application No. Patent No.	Publication date (day/month/year)	Filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)
	,			
	•			
				•
	•	•	-	
		•		
	•			
			•	
2.	Non-written disclosures (Rule 43bis.1 and 70.9)		<u></u>	
	Kind of non-written disclosure	Date of non-written di (day/month/yea	sclosure referrin	e of written disclosure g to non-written disclosure (day/month/year)
			<u> </u>	
			,	
	,			
		•		
se	e form 210	•	•	
l				